Main Criteria: Spelling Classroom

Secondary Criteria: Arkansas Curriculum Frameworks

Subject: Language Arts

Grades: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12

Spelling Classroom

Sentence Completion
Summary: Match words with their appropriate contextual sentence.

Arkansas Curriculum Frameworks Language Arts

Grade 1 - Adopted: 2016

STRAND/TOPIC	AR.L.1.	Grade 1 Language Standards
CONTENT STANDARD		Vocabulary Acquisition and Use
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	L.1.4.	Determine or clarify the meaning of unknown and multiple-meaning words and phrases based on Grade 1 reading and content, choosing flexibly from an array of strategies.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	L.1.4.A.	Use sentence-level context as a clue to the meaning of a word or phrase.
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.L.1.	Grade 1 Language Standards
CONTENT STANDARD		Vocabulary Acquisition and Use
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	L.1.5.	With guidance and support from adults, demonstrate understanding of word relationships and nuances in word meanings.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	L.1.5.C.	Identify real-life connections between words and their use (e.g., note places at home that are cozy).
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.L.1.	Grade 1 Language Standards
CONTENT STANDARD		Vocabulary Acquisition and Use
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	L.1.6.	Use words and phrases acquired through conversations, reading and being read to, and responding to texts, including using frequently occurring conjunctions to signal simple relationships (e.g., because).

Arkansas Curriculum Frameworks

Language Arts

Grade 2 - Adopted: 2016

STRAND/TOPIC	AR.RI.2.	Grade 2 Reading Standards for Informational Text
CONTENT STANDARD		Craft and Structure
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	RI.2.4.	Determine the meaning of words and phrases in a text relevant to a Grade 2 topic or subject area.
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.RF.2.	Grade 2 Reading Standards for Foundational Skills
CONTENT STANDARD		Fluency
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	RF.2.4.	Read grade-level text with sufficient accuracy and fluency to support comprehension.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	RF.2.4.C.	Use context in grade-level text to confirm or self-correct word recognition and understanding, rereading as necessary.
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.L.2.	Grade 2 Language Standards
CONTENT STANDARD		Vocabulary Acquisition and Use
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	L.2.4.	Determine or clarify the meaning of unknown and multiple-meaning words and phrases based on Grade 2 reading and content, choosing flexibly from an array of strategies.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	L.2.4.A.	Use sentence-level context as a clue to the meaning of a word or phrase.
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.L.2.	Grade 2 Language Standards

CONTENT STANDARD		Vocabulary Acquisition and Use
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	L.2.5.	Demonstrate understanding of word relationships and nuances in word meanings.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	L.2.5.C.	Identify real-life connections between words and their use (e.g., describe foods that are spicy or juicy).
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.L.2.	Grade 2 Language Standards
CONTENT		Vocabulary Acquisition and Use
STANDARD		

Language Arts

Grade 3 - Adopted: 2016

STRAND/TOPIC	AR.RF.3.	Grade 3 Reading Standards for Foundational Skills
CONTENT STANDARD		Fluency
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	RF.3.4.	Read grade-level text with sufficient accuracy and fluency to support comprehension.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	RF.3.4.C.	Use context in grade-level text to confirm or self-correct word recognition and understanding, rereading as necessary.
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.L.3.	Grade 3 Language Standards
CONTENT STANDARD		Vocabulary Acquisition and Use
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	L.3.4.	Determine or clarify the meaning of unknown and multiple-meaning words and phrases based on Grade 3 reading and content, choosing flexibly from a range of effective strategies.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	L.3.4.A.	Use sentence-level context as a clue to the meaning of a word or phrase.
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.L.3.	Grade 3 Language Standards
CONTENT STANDARD		Vocabulary Acquisition and Use
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	L.3.5.	Demonstrate understanding of figurative language, word relationships and nuances in word meanings, as appropriate for the grade level.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	L.3.5.A.	Distinguish the literal and nonliteral meanings of words and phrases in context (e.g., take steps).
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	L.3.5.B.	Identify real-life connections between words and their use (e.g., describe people who are friendly or helpful).
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.L.3.	Grade 3 Language Standards
CONTENT STANDARD		Vocabulary Acquisition and Use
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	L.3.6.	Acquire and use accurately grade-appropriate conversational, general academic, and domain-specific words and phrases, including those that signal spatial and temporal relationships (e.g., After dinner that night we went looking for them).

Arkansas Curriculum Frameworks

Language Arts

Grade 4 - Adopted: 2016

STRAND/TOPIC	AR.RL.4.	Grade 4 Reading Standards for Literature
CONTENT STANDARD		Craft and Structure
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION		Determine the meaning of words and phrases as they are used in a text, including figurative language.
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.RF.4.	Grade 4 Reading Standards for Foundational Skills
CONTENT STANDARD		Fluency
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION		Read grade-level text with sufficient accuracy and fluency to support comprehension.
BENCHMARK /	RF.4.4.C.	Use context in grade-level text to confirm or self-correct word recognition and

PROFICIENCY		understanding, rereading as necessary.
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.L.4.	Grade 4 Language Standards
CONTENT STANDARD		Vocabulary Acquisition and Use
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	L.4.4.	Determine or clarify the meaning of unknown and multiple-meaning words and phrases based on Grade 4 reading and content, choosing flexibly from a range of effective strategies.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	L.4.4.A.	Use context (e.g., definitions, examples, restatements in text) as clues to the meaning of a word or phrase.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	L.4.4.D.	Verify the preliminary determination of the meaning of a word or phrase (e.g., by checking the inferred meaning in context or in a dictionary).
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.L.4.	Grade 4 Language Standards
CONTENT STANDARD		Vocabulary Acquisition and Use
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	L.4.6.	Acquire and use accurately grade-appropriate general academic and domain-specific words and phrases, including those that signal precise actions, emotions, or states of being (e.g., quizzed, whined, stammered) and that are basic to a particular topic (e.g., wildlife, conservation, endangered).

Language Arts

Grade 5 - Adopted: 2016

STRAND/TOPIC	AR.RF.5.	Grade 5 Reading Standards: Foundational Skills
CONTENT STANDARD		Fluency
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	RF.5.4.	Read grade-level text with sufficient accuracy and fluency to support comprehension.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	RF.5.4.C.	Use context in grade-level text to confirm or self-correct word recognition and understanding, rereading as necessary.
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.L.5.	Grade 5 Language Standards
CONTENT STANDARD		Vocabulary Acquisition and Use
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	L.5.4.	Determine or clarify the meaning of unknown and multiple-meaning words and phrases based on Grade 5 reading and content, choosing flexibly from a range of effective strategies.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	L.5.4.A.	Use context (e.g., cause/effect relationships and comparisons in text) as a clue to the meaning of a word or phrase.
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.L.5.	Grade 5 Language Standards
CONTENT STANDARD		Vocabulary Acquisition and Use
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	L.5.6.	Acquire and use accurately grade-appropriate general academic and domain-specific words and phrases, including transition words that signal contrast, addition, and other logical relationships.

Arkansas Curriculum Frameworks

Language Arts

Grade 6 - Adopted: 2016

STRAND/TOPIC	AR.L.6.	Grade 6 Language Standards
CONTENT STANDARD		Vocabulary Acquisition and Use
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	L.6.4.	Determine or clarify the meaning of unknown and multiple-meaning words and phrases based on Grade 6 reading and content, choosing flexibly from a range of effective strategies.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY		Use context (e.g., the overall meaning of a sentence or paragraph, a word's position or function in a sentence) as a clue to the meaning of a word or phrase.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY		Verify the preliminary determination of the meaning of a word or phrase (e.g., by checking the inferred meaning in context or in a dictionary).
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.L.6.	Grade 6 Language Standards
CONTENT STANDARD		Vocabulary Acquisition and Use
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	L.6.6.	Acquire and use accurately grade-appropriate general academic and domain-specific words and phrases; gather vocabulary knowledge when considering a word or phrase

Language Arts

Grade 7 - Adopted: 2016

STRAND/TOPIC	AR.L.7.	Grade 7 Language Standards
CONTENT STANDARD		Knowledge of Language
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	L.7.3.	Use knowledge of language and its conventions for Grade 7 when writing, speaking, reading, or listening.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	L.7.3.A.	Choose language that expresses ideas precisely and concisely, recognizing and eliminating wordiness and redundancy.
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.L.7.	Grade 7 Language Standards
CONTENT STANDARD		Vocabulary Acquisition and Use
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	L.7.4.	Determine or clarify the meaning of unknown and multiple-meaning words and phrases based on Grade 7 reading and content, choosing flexibly from a range of effective strategies.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	L.7.4.A.	Use context (e.g., the overall meaning of a sentence or paragraph, a word's position or function in a sentence) as a clue to the meaning of a word or phrase.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	L.7.4.D.	Verify the preliminary determination of the meaning of a word or phrase (e.g., by checking the inferred meaning in context or in a dictionary).
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.L.7.	Grade 7 Language Standards
CONTENT STANDARD		Vocabulary Acquisition and Use
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	L.7.6.	Acquire and use accurately grade-appropriate general academic and domain-specific words and phrases; gather vocabulary knowledge when considering a word or phrase important to comprehension or expression.

Arkansas Curriculum Frameworks

Language Arts

Grade 8 - Adopted: 2016

CTDAND (TOBIC	AD DL O	Orada O Bardina Standarda far Litaratura
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.RL.8.	Grade 8 Reading Standards for Literature
CONTENT STANDARD		Craft and Structure
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	RL.8.4.	Determine the meaning of words and phrases as they are used in a text, including figurative and connotative meanings; analyze the impact of specific word choices on meaning and/or tone, including analogies or allusions to other texts when applicable.
STRAND / TOPIC	AR.L.8.	Grade 8 Language Standards
CONTENT STANDARD		Vocabulary Acquisition and Use
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	L.8.4.	Determine or clarify the meaning of unknown and multiple-meaning words or phrases based on Grade 8 reading and content, choosing flexibly from a range of effective strategies.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	L.8.4.A.	Use context (e.g., the overall meaning of a sentence or paragraph, a word's position or function in a sentence) as a clue to the meaning of a word or phrase.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	L.8.4.D.	Verify the preliminary determination of the meaning of a word or phrase (e.g., by checking the inferred meaning in context or in a dictionary).
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.L.8.	Grade 8 Language Standards
CONTENT STANDARD		Vocabulary Acquisition and Use
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	L.8.6.	Acquire and use accurately grade-appropriate general academic and domain-specific words and phrases; gather vocabulary knowledge when considering a word or phrase important to comprehension or expression.

Arkansas Curriculum Frameworks

Language Arts

Grade 9 - Adopted: 2016

STRAND/TOPIC AR.L.9-	Grades 9-10 Language Standards
10.	

CONTENT STANDARD		Vocabulary Acquisition and Use
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	L.9-10.4.	Determine or clarify the meaning of unknown and multiple-meaning words and phrases based on Grades 9-10 reading and content, choosing flexibly from a range of strategies.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	L.9- 10.4.A.	Use context (e.g., the overall meaning of a sentence, paragraph, text; a word's position or function in a sentence) as a clue to the meaning of a word or phrase.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	L.9- 10.4.D.	Verify the preliminary determination of the meaning of a word or phrase (e.g., by checking the inferred meaning in context or in a dictionary).
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.L.9- 10.	Grades 9-10 Language Standards
CONTENT STANDARD		Vocabulary Acquisition and Use
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	L.9-10.6.	Acquire and use accurately general academic and domain-specific words and phrases, sufficient for reading, writing, speaking, and listening; demonstrate independence in gathering vocabulary knowledge when considering a word or phrase important to comprehension or expression.
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.CRI.	Critical Reading I
CONTENT STANDARD	VD.	Vocabulary Development
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	VD.4.CRI	Students will increase vocabulary knowledge through multiple word study strategies to gain meaning of new words in a variety of contexts.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	VD.4.CRI .1.	Infer the meaning of a word through context clues
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	VD.4.CRI .7.	Develop word consciousness to gain a deep appreciation of words and value them
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.CRII.	Critical Reading II
CONTENT STANDARD	VD.	Vocabulary Development
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	VD.4.CRI	Students shall increase vocabulary knowledge through multiple word study strategies to gain meaning of new words in a variety of contexts.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	VD.4.CRI I.1.	Infer the meaning of a word through contextual evidence
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	VD.4.CRI I.7.	Develop word consciousness to gain an awareness of words and the significance they have in both text and real world application
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.DI.	Debate I
CONTENT STANDARD	R.	Refutation
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	R.8.DI.	Students will analyze and rebut opposing arguments.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	R.8.DI.2.	Apply critical thinking skills when researching, preparing, and presenting arguments:
DESCRIPTOR	R.8.DI.2. 1.	false assumptions
DESCRIPTOR	R.8.DI.2. 2.	loaded terms
DESCRIPTOR	R.8.DI.2. 3.	logical fallacies
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.DIII.	Debate III
CONTENT STANDARD	ADV.	Advocacy
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	ADV.12.D	Students will synthesize socioeconomic, ethical, and/or philosophical reasoning that influences current issues.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	ADV.12.D III.3.	Challenge ideological motivations within the status quo
DESCRIPTOR	ADV.12.D III.3.2.	value criterion (e.g., logic, ethics, morality, justice, life)
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.FI.	Forensics I
CONTENT	P.	Presentation
STANDARD		

PROFICIENCY		
I II	P.3.FI.15. 3.	faulty reasoning and logical fallacies (e.g., ad hominem, ad populum, post hoc ergo propter hoc, appeal to authority, appeal to tradition, band wagon, begging the question, fallacy of unimpeachable source, mistaken cause, name calling, red herring, straw man)
STRAND / TOPIC	AR.FII.	Forensics II
CONTENT STANDARD	PS.	Public Speaking
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	PS.3.FII.	Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	PS.3.FII.2	Define and explain specialized vocabulary:
DESCRIPTOR .	PS.3.FII.2 .5.	non sequitur
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.FII.	Forensics II
CONTENT STANDARD	PS.	Public Speaking
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	PS.3.FII.	Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres.
	PS.3.FII.1 2.	Defend selected methods of reasoning
I II	PS.3.FII.2 2.	Discuss how to detect fallacies in value arguments
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.FII.	Forensics II
CONTENT STANDARD	PS.	Public Speaking
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	PS.3.FII.	Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres.
	PS.3.FII.3 4.	Contrast the types of reasoning:
I II	PS.3.FII.3 4.3.	deductive
I II	PS.3.FII.3 4.4.	inductive
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.FIII.	Forensics III
CONTENT STANDARD	PS.	Public Speaking
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	PS.3.FIII.	Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres
I II	PS.3.FIII. 10.	Defend selected methods of reasoning
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.FIII.	Forensics III
CONTENT STANDARD	PS.	Public Speaking
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	PS.3.FIII.	Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres
	PS.3.FIII. 26.	Contrast the types of reasoning to create an effective presentation:
	PS.3.FIII. 26.3.	deductive
DESCRIPTOR		inductive
DESCRIPTOR	PS.3.FIII.	inductive Forensics IV
DESCRIPTOR	PS.3.FIII. 26.4.	
DESCRIPTOR STRAND/TOPIC CONTENT STANDARD	PS.3.FIII. 26.4. AR.FIV. PS.	Forensics IV
STRAND / TOPIC CONTENT STANDARD PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION BENCHMARK /	PS.3.FIII. 26.4. AR.FIV. PS.	Forensics IV Public Speaking
STRAND / TOPIC CONTENT STANDARD PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	PS.3.FIII. 26.4. AR.FIV. PS. PS.3.FIV.	Forensics IV Public Speaking Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres.

PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	PS.3.FIV.	Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	PS.3.FIV. 26.	Contrast the types of reasoning to create an effective presentation at a mastery level:
DESCRIPTOR	PS.3.FIV. 26.3.	deductive
DESCRIPTOR	PS.3.FIV. 26.4.	inductive
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.OC1.	Oral Communication
CONTENT STANDARD	CC.	Communication Competencies
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	CC.2.OC 1S.	Students will practice communication competencies.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	CC.2.OC 1S.4.	Define logical fallacies (e.g., slippery slope, ad hominem, bandwagon, false cause, hasty generalization, begging the question, false dilemma)
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.OC2.	Oral Communication
CONTENT STANDARD	CC.	Communication Competencies
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	CC.2.OC 2S.	Students will practice communication competencies.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	CC.2.OC 2S.5.	Recognize logical fallacies (e.g., slippery slope, ad hominem, bandwagon, false cause, hasty generalization, begging the question, false dilemma)

Language Arts

Grade **10** - Adopted: **2016**

STRAND/TOPIC	AR.L.9- 10.	Grades 9-10 Language Standards
CONTENT STANDARD		Vocabulary Acquisition and Use
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	L.9-10.4.	Determine or clarify the meaning of unknown and multiple-meaning words and phrases based on Grades 9-10 reading and content, choosing flexibly from a range of strategies.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	L.9- 10.4.A.	Use context (e.g., the overall meaning of a sentence, paragraph, text; a word's position or function in a sentence) as a clue to the meaning of a word or phrase.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	L.9- 10.4.D.	Verify the preliminary determination of the meaning of a word or phrase (e.g., by checking the inferred meaning in context or in a dictionary).
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.L.9- 10.	Grades 9-10 Language Standards
CONTENT STANDARD		Vocabulary Acquisition and Use
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	L.9-10.6.	Acquire and use accurately general academic and domain-specific words and phrases, sufficient for reading, writing, speaking, and listening; demonstrate independence in gathering vocabulary knowledge when considering a word or phrase important to comprehension or expression.
		important to comprehension of expression.
STRAND / TOPIC	AR.CRI.	Critical Reading I
STRAND / TOPIC CONTENT STANDARD	AR.CRI.	
CONTENT	VD.	Critical Reading I
CONTENT STANDARD PERFORMANCE	VD. VD.4.CRI	Critical Reading I Vocabulary Development Students will increase vocabulary knowledge through multiple word study strategies
CONTENT STANDARD PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION BENCHMARK /	VD.4.CRI . VD.4.CRI	Critical Reading I Vocabulary Development Students will increase vocabulary knowledge through multiple word study strategies to gain meaning of new words in a variety of contexts.
CONTENT STANDARD PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY BENCHMARK /	VD.4.CRI VD.4.CRI .1. VD.4.CRI	Critical Reading I Vocabulary Development Students will increase vocabulary knowledge through multiple word study strategies to gain meaning of new words in a variety of contexts. Infer the meaning of a word through context clues
CONTENT STANDARD PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	VD.4.CRI VD.4.CRI .1. VD.4.CRI .7.	Critical Reading I Vocabulary Development Students will increase vocabulary knowledge through multiple word study strategies to gain meaning of new words in a variety of contexts. Infer the meaning of a word through context clues Develop word consciousness to gain a deep appreciation of words and value them
CONTENT STANDARD PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY STRAND / TOPIC CONTENT	VD.4.CRI . VD.4.CRI .1. VD.4.CRI .7. AR.CRII. VD.	Critical Reading I Vocabulary Development Students will increase vocabulary knowledge through multiple word study strategies to gain meaning of new words in a variety of contexts. Infer the meaning of a word through context clues Develop word consciousness to gain a deep appreciation of words and value them Critical Reading II
CONTENT STANDARD PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY STRAND / TOPIC CONTENT STANDARD PERFORMANCE	VD.4.CRI .1. VD.4.CRI .7. AR.CRII. VD.4.CRI	Critical Reading I Vocabulary Development Students will increase vocabulary knowledge through multiple word study strategies to gain meaning of new words in a variety of contexts. Infer the meaning of a word through context clues Develop word consciousness to gain a deep appreciation of words and value them Critical Reading II Vocabulary Development Students shall increase vocabulary knowledge through multiple word study

	1.7.	they have in both text and real world application
STRAND/TOPIC		Debate I
CONTENT STANDARD	R.	Refutation
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	R.8.DI.	Students will analyze and rebut opposing arguments.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	R.8.DI.2.	Apply critical thinking skills when researching, preparing, and presenting arguments:
DESCRIPTOR	R.8.DI.2. 1.	false assumptions
DESCRIPTOR	R.8.DI.2. 2.	loaded terms
DESCRIPTOR	R.8.DI.2. 3.	logical fallacies
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.DIII.	Debate III
CONTENT STANDARD	ADV.	Advocacy
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	ADV.12.D	Students will synthesize socioeconomic, ethical, and/or philosophical reasoning that influences current issues.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	ADV.12.D	Challenge ideological motivations within the status quo
DESCRIPTOR	ADV.12.D III.3.2.	value criterion (e.g., logic, ethics, morality, justice, life)
STRAND/TOPIC		Forensics I
CONTENT	P.	Presentation
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	P.3.FI.	Students will perform interpretations of literature and deliver presentations and performances conveying concepts and ideas to a variety of audiences
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	P.3.FI.15.	Conduct peer reviews:
DESCRIPTOR	P.3.FI.15. 3.	faulty reasoning and logical fallacies (e.g., ad hominem, ad populum, post hoc ergo propter hoc, appeal to authority, appeal to tradition, band wagon, begging the question, fallacy of unimpeachable source, mistaken cause, name calling, red herring, straw man)
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.FII.	Forensics II
CONTENT STANDARD	PS.	Public Speaking
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	PS.3.FII.	Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	PS.3.FII.2	Define and explain specialized vocabulary:
DESCRIPTOR	PS.3.FII.2 .5.	non sequitur
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.FII.	Forensics II
CONTENT STANDARD	PS.	Public Speaking
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	PS.3.FII.	Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	PS.3.FII.1 2.	Defend selected methods of reasoning
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	PS.3.FII.2 2.	Discuss how to detect fallacies in value arguments
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.FII.	Forensics II
CONTENT STANDARD	PS.	Public Speaking
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	PS.3.FII.	Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	PS.3.FII.3 4.	Contrast the types of reasoning:
DESCRIPTOR	PS.3.FII.3 4.3.	deductive

	4.4.	
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.FIII.	Forensics III
CONTENT STANDARD	PS.	Public Speaking
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	PS.3.FIII.	Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	PS.3.FIII. 10.	Defend selected methods of reasoning
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.FIII.	Forensics III
CONTENT STANDARD	PS.	Public Speaking
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	PS.3.FIII.	Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	PS.3.FIII. 26.	Contrast the types of reasoning to create an effective presentation:
DESCRIPTOR	PS.3.FIII. 26.3.	deductive
DESCRIPTOR	PS.3.FIII. 26.4.	inductive
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.FIV.	Forensics IV
CONTENT STANDARD	PS.	Public Speaking
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	PS.3.FIV.	Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	PS.3.FIV. 10.	Defend selected methods of reasoning
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.FIV.	Forensics IV
CONTENT STANDARD	PS.	Public Speaking
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	PS.3.FIV.	Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	PS.3.FIV. 26.	Contrast the types of reasoning to create an effective presentation at a mastery level:
DESCRIPTOR	PS.3.FIV. 26.3.	deductive
DESCRIPTOR	PS.3.FIV. 26.4.	inductive
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.OC1.	Oral Communication
CONTENT STANDARD	CC.	Communication Competencies
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	CC.2.OC 1S.	Students will practice communication competencies.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	CC.2.OC 1S.4.	Define logical fallacies (e.g., slippery slope, ad hominem, bandwagon, false cause, hasty generalization, begging the question, false dilemma)
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.OC2.	Oral Communication
CONTENT STANDARD	CC.	Communication Competencies
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	CC.2.OC 2S.	Students will practice communication competencies.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	CC.2.OC 2S.5.	Recognize logical fallacies (e.g., slippery slope, ad hominem, bandwagon, false cause, hasty generalization, begging the question, false dilemma)

Arkansas Curriculum Frameworks Language Arts

Grade **11** - Adopted: **2016**

STRAND/TOPIC	AR.L.11- 12.	Grades 11-12 Language Standards
CONTENT STANDARD		Vocabulary Acquisition and Use
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION		Determine or clarify the meaning of unknown and multiple-meaning words and phrases based on Grades 11-12 reading and content, choosing flexibly from a range

		of strategies.
BENCHMARK /	L.11-	Use context (e.g., the overall meaning of a sentence, paragraph, or text; a word's
PROFICIENCY	12.4.A.	position or function in a sentence) as a clue to the meaning of a word or phrase.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	L.11- 12.4.D.	Verify the preliminary determination of the meaning of a word or phrase (e.g., by checking the inferred meaning in context or in a dictionary).
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.L.11- 12.	Grades 11-12 Language Standards
CONTENT STANDARD		Vocabulary Acquisition and Use
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	L.11- 12.6.	Acquire and use accurately a range of general academic and domain-specific words and phrases sufficient for reading, writing, speaking, and listening; demonstrate independence in gathering vocabulary knowledge when encountering an unknown term important to comprehension or expression.
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.CRI.	Critical Reading I
CONTENT STANDARD	VD.	Vocabulary Development
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	VD.4.CRI	Students will increase vocabulary knowledge through multiple word study strategies to gain meaning of new words in a variety of contexts.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	VD.4.CRI .1.	Infer the meaning of a word through context clues
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	VD.4.CRI .7.	Develop word consciousness to gain a deep appreciation of words and value them
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.CRII.	Critical Reading II
CONTENT STANDARD	VD.	Vocabulary Development
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	VD.4.CRI	Students shall increase vocabulary knowledge through multiple word study strategies to gain meaning of new words in a variety of contexts.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	VD.4.CRI I.1.	Infer the meaning of a word through contextual evidence
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	VD.4.CRI I.7.	Develop word consciousness to gain an awareness of words and the significance they have in both text and real world application
STRAND / TOPIC	AR.DI.	Debate I
CONTENT STANDARD	R.	Refutation
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	R.8.DI.	Students will analyze and rebut opposing arguments.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	R.8.DI.2.	Apply critical thinking skills when researching, preparing, and presenting arguments:
DESCRIPTOR	R.8.DI.2. 1.	false assumptions
DESCRIPTOR	R.8.DI.2. 2.	loaded terms
DESCRIPTOR	R.8.DI.2. 3.	logical fallacies
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.DIII.	Debate III
CONTENT STANDARD	ADV.	Advocacy
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	ADV.12.D	Students will synthesize socioeconomic, ethical, and/or philosophical reasoning that influences current issues.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	ADV.12.D III.3.	Challenge ideological motivations within the status quo
DESCRIPTOR	ADV.12.D III.3.2.	value criterion (e.g., logic, ethics, morality, justice, life)
STRAND / TOPIC	AR.FI.	Forensics I
CONTENT STANDARD	P.	Presentation
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	P.3.FI.	Students will perform interpretations of literature and deliver presentations and performances conveying concepts and ideas to a variety of audiences
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	P.3.FI.15.	Conduct peer reviews:
DESCRIPTOR	3.	faulty reasoning and logical fallacies (e.g., ad hominem, ad populum, post hoc ergo propter hoc, appeal to authority, appeal to tradition, band wagon, begging the question, fallacy of unimpeachable source, mistaken cause, name calling, red

		herring, straw man)
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.FII.	Forensics II
CONTENT STANDARD	PS.	Public Speaking
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	PS.3.FII.	Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	PS.3.FII.2	Define and explain specialized vocabulary:
DESCRIPTOR	PS.3.FII.2 .5.	non sequitur
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.FII.	Forensics II
CONTENT STANDARD	PS.	Public Speaking
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	PS.3.FII.	Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	PS.3.FII.1 2.	Defend selected methods of reasoning
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	PS.3.FII.2 2.	Discuss how to detect fallacies in value arguments
STRAND / TOPIC	AR.FII.	Forensics II
CONTENT STANDARD	PS.	Public Speaking
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	PS.3.FII.	Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	PS.3.FII.3 4.	Contrast the types of reasoning:
DESCRIPTOR	PS.3.FII.3 4.3.	deductive
DESCRIPTOR	PS.3.FII.3 4.4.	inductive
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.FIII.	Forensics III
CONTENT STANDARD	PS.	Public Speaking
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	PS.3.FIII.	Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	PS.3.FIII. 10.	Defend selected methods of reasoning
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.FIII.	Forensics III
CONTENT STANDARD	PS.	Public Speaking
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	PS.3.FIII.	Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	PS.3.FIII. 26.	Contrast the types of reasoning to create an effective presentation:
DESCRIPTOR	PS.3.FIII. 26.3.	deductive
DESCRIPTOR	PS.3.FIII. 26.4.	inductive
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.FIV.	Forensics IV
CONTENT STANDARD	PS.	Public Speaking
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	PS.3.FIV.	Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	PS.3.FIV. 10.	Defend selected methods of reasoning
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.FIV.	Forensics IV
CONTENT STANDARD	PS.	Public Speaking
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	PS.3.FIV.	Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres.
BENCHMARK /	PS.3.FIV.	Contrast the types of reasoning to create an effective presentation at a mastery level:

PROFICIENCY	26.	
DESCRIPTOR	PS.3.FIV. 26.3.	deductive
DESCRIPTOR	PS.3.FIV. 26.4.	inductive
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.OC1.	Oral Communication
CONTENT STANDARD	CC.	Communication Competencies
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	CC.2.OC 1S.	Students will practice communication competencies.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	CC.2.OC 1S.4.	Define logical fallacies (e.g., slippery slope, ad hominem, bandwagon, false cause, hasty generalization, begging the question, false dilemma)
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.OC2.	Oral Communication
CONTENT STANDARD	CC.	Communication Competencies
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	CC.2.OC 2S.	Students will practice communication competencies.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	CC.2.OC 2S.5.	Recognize logical fallacies (e.g., slippery slope, ad hominem, bandwagon, false cause, hasty generalization, begging the question, false dilemma)
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.TL.	Transitional Literacy
CONTENT STANDARD	L.	Language
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	L.5.TL.	Students will increase knowledge and application of vocabulary and language structures used in English language arts, history/social studies, science, and technical subjects.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	L.5.TL.1.	Use a variety of strategies and resources (e.g., contextual analysis, etymology, function, inflected forms, morphology, semantics, dictionaries, vocabulary notebooks) independently to examine various aspects of unfamiliar words to aid comprehension and acquisition of new vocabulary in context

Language Arts

Grade **12** - Adopted: **2016**

STRAND/TOPIC	AR.L.11- 12.	Grades 11-12 Language Standards
CONTENT STANDARD		Vocabulary Acquisition and Use
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	L.11- 12.4.	Determine or clarify the meaning of unknown and multiple-meaning words and phrases based on Grades 11-12 reading and content, choosing flexibly from a range of strategies.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	L.11- 12.4.A.	Use context (e.g., the overall meaning of a sentence, paragraph, or text; a word's position or function in a sentence) as a clue to the meaning of a word or phrase.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	L.11- 12.4.D.	Verify the preliminary determination of the meaning of a word or phrase (e.g., by checking the inferred meaning in context or in a dictionary).
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.L.11- 12.	Grades 11-12 Language Standards
CONTENT STANDARD		Vocabulary Acquisition and Use
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	L.11- 12.6.	Acquire and use accurately a range of general academic and domain-specific words and phrases sufficient for reading, writing, speaking, and listening; demonstrate independence in gathering vocabulary knowledge when encountering an unknown term important to comprehension or expression.
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.CRI.	Critical Reading I
CONTENT STANDARD	VD.	Vocabulary Development
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	VD.4.CRI	Students will increase vocabulary knowledge through multiple word study strategies to gain meaning of new words in a variety of contexts.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	VD.4.CRI .1.	Infer the meaning of a word through context clues
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	VD.4.CRI .7.	Develop word consciousness to gain a deep appreciation of words and value them
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.CRII.	Critical Reading II

PERFORMANCE VP. A.C.RI Students shall increase vocabulary knowledge through multiple word study SEPECTATION VP. A.C.RI Infer the meaning of a word through contextual evidence VP. A.C.RI Infer the meaning of a word through contextual evidence VP. A.C.RI Infer the meaning of a word through contextual evidence VP. A.C.RI Performance VP. A.C.RI	CONTENT STANDARD	VD.	Vocabulary Development
PROFICIENCY 1.1.		VD.4.CRI	
STRAND TOPIC R. D. Debate R. STANDARD R. Refutation Standard R. Refutation Standard R. Refutation Standard R. Refutation Standard R. Students will analyze and rebut opposing arguments. EXPECTATION R. Students will analyze and rebut opposing arguments. EXPECTATION R. Apply critical thinking skills when researching, preparing, and presenting arguments: DESCRIPTOR R. Apply critical thinking skills when researching, preparing, and presenting arguments: DESCRIPTOR R. Apply critical thinking skills when researching, preparing, and presenting arguments: DESCRIPTOR R. Apply critical thinking skills when researching, preparing, and presenting arguments: DESCRIPTOR R. Apply critical thinking skills when researching, preparing, and presenting arguments: DESCRIPTOR R. Apply critical thinking skills when researching, preparing, and presenting arguments: DESCRIPTOR R. Apply critical thinking skills when researching, preparing, and presenting arguments: DESCRIPTOR R. Apply critical thinking skills when researching, preparing, and presenting arguments: DESCRIPTOR Apply critical thinking skills when researching, preparing, and presenting arguments: DESCRIPTOR Apply critical thinking skills when researching, preparing, and presenting and logical fall critical, service, and preparing, and presenting and logical fall critical, service, and hominom, ad proprimences conveying concepts and ideas to a variety of audiences SERCIPTOR P. Apply critical thinking skills when researching, preparing and logical fallacies (e.g., ad hominom, ad populum, post hoc ergo and proprimences conveying concepts and ideas to a variety of speaking straw man) STRAND TOPIC Ar. Fill Forensics CONTENT P. Public Speaking STRAND TOPIC Ar. Fill Forensics CONTENT P. Public Speaking STRAND TOPIC Ar. Fill P. PERFORMANCE P. P. P. PERFORMANCE P. P. PERFORMANCE P. P. S			Infer the meaning of a word through contextual evidence
STANDARD R. Refutation STANDARD R. Refutation STANDARD R. R. Refutation STANDARD R. R. R. R. Students will analyze and rebut opposing arguments. R. R. R. R. R. R. R.			
STANDARD PREFORMANCE R.8.D.I. Students will analyze and rebut opposing arguments.	STRAND/TOPIC	AR.DI.	Debate I
EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY R. 8. D. 1.2. false assumptions 1. DESCRIPTOR R. 8. D. 1.2. false assumptions 1. DESCRIPTOR R. 8. D. 1.2. false assumptions 1. DESCRIPTOR R. 8. D. 1.2. loaded terms 2. DESCRIPTOR R. 8. D. 1.2. logical fallacies 3. STRAND / TOPIC AR. DIII. Debate III CONTENT STANDARD ADV. 12. Debate III CONTENT STANDARD AR. Forensics I CONTENT STANDARD P. PRESENTATION P. Presentation STRAND / TOPIC AR. F. Forensics I CONTENT STANDARD P. PRESENTATION P. Students will perform interpretations of literature and deliver presentations and performances conveying concepts and ideas to a variety of audiences ENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY P. 3. F. Students will perform interpretations of literature and deliver presentations and performances conveying concepts and ideas to a variety of audiences ENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY P. 3. F. Students will perform interpretations of literature and deliver presentations and performances conveying concepts and ideas to a variety of audiences ENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY P. 3. F. Students will perform interpretations of literature and deliver presentations and performances conveying concepts and ideas to a variety of audiences ENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY P. 3. F. Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres. STRAND / TOPIC AR. F. Forensics II CONTENT P. Public Speaking ENCHMARK / P. S. J. F. Define and explain specialized vocabulary: CONTENT P. Public Speaking ENCHMARK / P. S. J. F. Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres. ENCHMARK / P. S. J. F. Defend selected methods of reasoning ENCHMARK / P. S. J. F. Defend selected methods of reasoning ENCHMARK / P. S. J. F. Defend s		R.	Refutation
DESCRIPTOR R. 8.D.1.2. false assumptions 1. DESCRIPTOR R. 8.D.1.2. logical fallacies 2. DESCRIPTOR R. 8.D.1.2. logical fallacies 3. STRAND / TOPIC AR. DIII. Debate III CONTENT STANDARD PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY DESCRIPTOR ADV.1.2.D value criterion (e.g., logic, ethics, morality, justice, life) STRAND / TOPIC EXPECTATION P. Presentation STRAND / TOPIC BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY DESCRIPTOR ADV.1.2.D value criterion (e.g., logic, ethics, morality, justice, life) STRAND / TOPIC CONTENT STANDARD P. Presentation STRANDARD P. P. P. Presentation BENCHMARK / P. P. P. Presentics II CONTENT STANDARN P. P. P. Presentics II CONTENT STANDARN P. P. P. Presentation BENCHMARK / P. P. P. Presentics II CONTENT PROFICIENCY P. P. Presentation P. P. Presentation BENCHMARK / P. P. P. Presentics II CONTENT P. P. Public Speaking PREFORMANCE P. P. Public Speaking PREFO		R.8.DI.	Students will analyze and rebut opposing arguments.
DESCRIPTOR R.8.DI.2. loaded terms 2. logical fallacies 3. STRAND / TOPIC R. ADV.1. Description BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY PREFORMANCE EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY PREFORMANCE EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY PREFORMANCE EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY PROFICIENCY PROFICIENCY DESCRIPTOR P. STRAND / TOPIC P. S.FI. Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres. EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PS.3.FII. Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres. EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PS.3.FII. Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres. EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PS.3.FII. Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres. EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PS.3.FII. Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres. EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PS.3.FII. Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres. EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PS.3.FII. Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres. EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PS.3.FII. Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres. EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PS.3.FII. Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres. EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PS.3.FII. Define and explain specialized vocabulary: CONTENT STAND / TOPIC CONTENT STANDARD PS. Public Speaking EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PS.3.FII. Defend selected methods of reasoning ERNCHMARK / PS.		R.8.DI.2.	
DESCRIPTOR R. B.D. 1.2. logical fallacies 3. STRAND / TOPIC AR. DIII. CONTENT STRANDARD PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION III. BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY III.3. 2. CONTENT STRANDARD PERFORANCE EXPECTATION PROFICIENCY DESCRIPTOR P. 3.FI. 5. Conduct peer reviews: PROFICIENCY P. 3.FI. 5. faults reasoning and logical fallacies (e.g., ad hominem, ad populum, post hoc ergo propter hoc, appeal to authority, appeal to tradition, band wagon, begging the question, fallacy of unimpeachable source, mistaken cause, name calling, red herring, straw man) STRAND / TOPIC CONTENT STANDARD PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION PS. Public Speaking PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION PS. Public Speaking PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION PS. STRAND / TOPIC CONTENT STANDARD PROFICIENCY PS.3.FII.2 Define and explain specialized vocabulary: PS.3.FII.2 Define and explain specialized vocabulary: PROFICIENCY PS.3.FII.2 Define show to detect fallacies in value arguments PROFICIENCY PROFICIENCY PS.3.FII.2 PROFICIENCY PS.3.FII.2 Proposition PS.3.FII.2 Proficiency PS.3.FII.2 Proficiency PS.3.FII.2 Proficiency PS.3.FII.2 Proficiency PS.3.FII.2 Proficiency PS.3.FII.2 Proficiency PS.3.FII.3 Proficiency PS.3.FII.4 PROFICIENCY PS.3.FII.5 PROFICIENCY PS.3.FII.7 PROFICIENC	DESCRIPTOR		false assumptions
STRAND / TOPIC ONTENT STANDARD PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / P.S.F.II.5 CONTENT STANDARD PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PS.S.FII.2 CONTENT STANDARD PS. STRAND / TOPIC CONTENT STANDARD PS. PUBLIC Speaking STRAND / TOPIC CONTENT STANDARD PS. PUBLIC Speaking STRAND / TOPIC CONTENT STANDARD PS. STRAND / TOPIC CONTENT STANDARD PS. PUBLIC Speaking STRAND / PS.S.FII.2 CONTENT STANDARD PS. PUBLIC Speaking STRAND / PS.S.FII.2 Define and explain specialized vocabulary: STRAND / PS.S.FII.2 Define and explain specialized vocabulary: STRAND / PS.S.FII.2 Define and explain specialized vocabulary: STRAND / PS. PUBLIC Speaking STRANDARD PS. STRAND / PS. STRAND / PS. PUBLIC Speaking STRANDARD PS. STRAND / PS. PUBLIC Speaking STRAND / PS. STRAND / PS. PUBLIC Speaking STRAND / PS. STRA	DESCRIPTOR		loaded terms
CONTENT STANDARD ADV. Advocacy STANDARD ADV.12.D Students will synthesize socioeconomic, ethical, and/or philosophical reasoning that influences current issues. BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY III.3. DESCRIPTOR ADV.12.D Challenge ideological motivations within the status quo DESCRIPTOR ADV.12.D III.3.2.D III.3	DESCRIPTOR		logical fallacies
PERFORMANCE ADV.12.D Students will synthesize socioeconomic, ethical, and/or philosophical reasoning that influences current issues.	STRAND/TOPIC	AR.DIII.	Debate III
EXPECTATION III. that influences current issues.		ADV.	Advocacy
DESCRIPTOR ADV.12.D III.3. ADV.12.D III.3.2. Value criterion (e.g., logic, ethics, morality, justice, life) III.3. STRAND / TOPIC AR. FI. Forensics CONTENT STANDARD P. Presentation P. Students will perform interpretations of literature and deliver presentations and performances conveying concepts and ideas to a variety of audiences BENCHMARK / PROFICIEINCY P.3.FI.15. Conduct peer reviews: POSCRIPTOR P.3.FI.15. faulty reasoning and logical fallacies (e.g., ad hominem, ad populum, post hoc ergo propher hoc, appeal to authority, appeal to tradition, band wagon, begging the question, fallacy of unimpeachable source, mistaken cause, name calling, red herring, straw man) STRAND / TOPIC AR. FII. Forensics II CONTENT STANDARD PS. Public Speaking BENCHMARK / PROFICIEINCY PS.3.FII.2 Define and explain specialized vocabulary: DESCRIPTOR PS.3.FII.2 Define and explain specialized vocabulary: STRAND / TOPIC AR. FII. Forensics II CONTENT STANDARD PS. Public Speaking PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIEINCY PS.3.FII.2 Defend selected methods of reasoning PERFORMANCE PS.3.FII.3 Defend selected methods of reasoning PERFORMANCE PS.3.FII.1 Defend selected methods of reasoning STRAND / TOPIC AR. FII. Forensics II PROFICIEINCY PS.3.FII.2 DESCRIPTOR PS.3.FII.3 Defend selected methods of reasoning PERFORMANCE PS.3.FII.1 Defend selected methods of reasoning PERFORMANCE PS.3.FII.2 Defend selected methods of reasoning PERFORMANCE PS.3.FII.2 Defend selected methods of reasoning PERFORMANCE PS.3.FII.3 PS.3.FII.3 Defend selected methods of reasoning PERFORMANCE PS.3.FII.3 PS.3.FII.3 PS.3.FII.3 PS.3.FII.3 PS.3.FII.3 PS.3.FII.3			
STRAND / TOPIC AR.FI. Forensics I CONTENT STANDARD P. Presentation STANDARD PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION P. Students will perform interpretations of literature and deliver presentations and performances conveying concepts and ideas to a variety of audiences BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY DESCRIPTOR P.3.FI.1.5. faulty reasoning and logical fallacies (e.g., ad hominem, ad populum, post hoc ergo question, fallacy of unimpeachable source, mistaken cause, name calling, red herring, straw man) STRAND / TOPIC AR.FII. Forensics II CONTENT STANDARD PS. Public Speaking BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY DESCRIPTOR PS.3.FII.2 non sequitur 5. TRAND / TOPIC AR.FII. Forensics II CONTENT STANDARD PS. Public Speaking STRAND / TOPIC AR.FII. Forensics II CONTENT STANDARD PS. Public Speaking STRAND / TOPIC AR.FII. Forensics II CONTENT STANDARD PS. Public Speaking PREFORMANCE EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PS.3.FII.2 non sequitur 5. STRAND / TOPIC AR.FII. Forensics II CONTENT STANDARD PS. Public Speaking PERFORMANCE PS.3.FII.1 Defend selected methods of reasoning PERFORMANCE PS.3.FII.2 Defend selected methods of reasoning PERFORMANCE PS.3.FII.1 Defend selected methods of reasoning PERFORMANCE PS.3.FII.2 Discuss how to detect fallacies in value arguments 2. STRAND / TOPIC AR.FII. Forensics II CONTENT PS. Public Speaking			Challenge ideological motivations within the status quo
CONTENT STANDARD PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY DESCRIPTOR Note: The content of the conten	DESCRIPTOR		value criterion (e.g., logic, ethics, morality, justice, life)
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY DESCRIPTOR STRAND / TOPIC EXPECTATION PS. 3.FI. 2. Students will perform interpretations of literature and deliver presentations and performances conveying concepts and ideas to a variety of audiences P.3.FI.15. Conduct peer reviews: P.3.FI.15. Conduct peer reviews:	STRAND/TOPIC	AR.FI.	Forensics I
EXPECTATION performances conveying concepts and ideas to a variety of audiences BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY P.3.FI.15. Conduct peer reviews: PESCRIPTOR P.3.FI.15. faulty reasoning and logical fallacies (e.g., ad hominem, ad populum, post hoc ergo propter hoc, appeal to authority, appeal to tradition, band wagon, begging the question, fallacy of unimpeachable source, mistaken cause, name calling, red herring, straw man) STRAND / TOPIC AR.FII. Forensics II CONTENT PS. Public Speaking PERFORMANCE PS.3.FII. Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres. EXPECTATION PS.3.FII.2 Define and explain specialized vocabulary: DESCRIPTOR PS.3.FII.2 non sequitur S. STRAND / TOPIC AR.FII. Forensics II CONTENT PS. Public Speaking PERFORMANCE PS.3.FII. Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres. EXPECTATION PS. Public Speaking BENCHMARK / PS.3.FII. Defend selected methods of reasoning PROFICIENCY PS.3.FII. Discuss how to detect fallacies in value arguments ENCHMARK / PS.3.FII.2 Discuss how to detect fallacies in value arguments STRAND / TOPIC AR.FII. Forensics II CONTENT PS. Public Speaking		P.	Presentation
PROFICIENCY P.3.FI.1.5 faulty reasoning and logical fallacies (e.g., ad hominem, ad populum, post hoc ergo propter hoc, appeal to authority, appeal to tradition, band wagon, begging the question, fallacy of unimpeachable source, mistaken cause, name calling, red herring, straw man) STRAND / TOPIC AR.FII. Forensics II		P.3.FI.	
3. propter hoc, appeal to authority, appeal to tradition, band wagon, beging the question, fallacy of unimpeachable source, mistaken cause, name calling, red herring, straw man) STRAND / TOPIC AR. FII. Forensics II CONTENT STANDARD PS. Public Speaking PERFORMANCE PS.3. FII. Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres. EXPECTATION PS.3. FII. Define and explain specialized vocabulary: DESCRIPTOR PS.3. FII. non sequitur STRAND / TOPIC AR. FII. Forensics II CONTENT PS. Public Speaking PERFORMANCE PS.3. FII. Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres. EXPECTATION PS. Public Speaking BENCHMARK / PS.3. FII. Defend selected methods of reasoning PROFICIENCY PS.3. FII. Discuss how to detect fallacies in value arguments STRAND / TOPIC AR. FII. Forensics II CONTENT PS. Public Speaking		P.3.FI.15.	Conduct peer reviews:
CONTENT STANDARD PS. Public Speaking PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY DESCRIPTOR PS. Public Speaking specialized vocabulary: DESCRIPTOR PS. Public Speaking PS. Public Speaking STRAND / TOPIC AR.FII. Forensics II Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres. STRAND / TOPIC AR.FII. Forensics II STRAND / TOPIC AR.FII. Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres. EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PS.3.FII.1 Defend selected methods of reasoning PROFICIENCY BENCHMARK / PS.3.FII.2 Discuss how to detect fallacies in value arguments PROFICIENCY STRAND / TOPIC AR.FII. Forensics II CONTENT PS. Public Speaking	DESCRIPTOR		propter hoc, appeal to authority, appeal to tradition, band wagon, begging the question, fallacy of unimpeachable source, mistaken cause, name calling, red
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY DESCRIPTOR AR.FII. Forensics II CONTENT BENCHMARK / PS.3.FII. Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres. Strand / Topic Ar.Fii. Forensics II Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres. STRAND / Topic Ar.Fii. Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres. EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PS.3.FII. Defend selected methods of reasoning BENCHMARK / PS.3.FII. Discuss how to detect fallacies in value arguments STRAND / Topic AR.FII. Forensics II CONTENT PS. Public Speaking Public Speaking Public Speaking Public Speaking Public Speaking	STRAND/TOPIC	AR.FII.	Forensics II
EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY PS.3.FII.2 Define and explain specialized vocabulary: DESCRIPTOR PS.3.FII.2 non sequitur STRAND / TOPIC AR.FII. Forensics II CONTENT STANDARD PS. Public Speaking PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION PS.3.FII. Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres. EXPECTATION PS.3.FII.1 Defend selected methods of reasoning PROFICIENCY PS.3.FII.2 Discuss how to detect fallacies in value arguments STRAND / TOPIC AR.FII. Forensics II CONTENT PS. Public Speaking		PS.	Public Speaking
DESCRIPTOR PS.3.FII.2 non sequitur STRAND / TOPIC AR.FII. Forensics II CONTENT STANDARD PS. Public Speaking PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION PS.3.FII. Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres. BENCHMARK / PS.3.FII.1 Defend selected methods of reasoning PROFICIENCY PS.3.FII.2 Discuss how to detect fallacies in value arguments STRAND / TOPIC AR.FII. Forensics II CONTENT PS. Public Speaking		PS.3.FII.	Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres.
STRAND / TOPIC AR.FII. Forensics II CONTENT STANDARD PS. Public Speaking PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION PS.3.FII. Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres. BENCHMARK / PS.3.FII.1 Defend selected methods of reasoning PROFICIENCY PROFICIENCY PROFICIENCY 2. Discuss how to detect fallacies in value arguments STRAND / TOPIC AR.FII. Forensics II CONTENT PS. Public Speaking		PS.3.FII.2	Define and explain specialized vocabulary:
CONTENT STANDARD PS. Public Speaking PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY PROFICIENCY PROFICIENCY PS.3.FII.1 Defend selected methods of reasoning 2. Discuss how to detect fallacies in value arguments 2. STRAND / TOPIC AR.FII. Forensics II CONTENT PS. Public Speaking	DESCRIPTOR		non sequitur
PERFORMANCE PS.3.FII. Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres.	STRAND/TOPIC	AR.FII.	Forensics II
EXPECTATION BENCHMARK PS.3.FII.1 Defend selected methods of reasoning PROFICIENCY PS.3.FII.2 Discuss how to detect fallacies in value arguments PS.3.FII.2 PROFICIENCY PS.3.FII.2 Discuss how to detect fallacies in value arguments PROFICIENCY PS. Public Speaking PS.		PS.	Public Speaking
PROFICIENCY 2. BENCHMARK / PS.3.FII.2 Discuss how to detect fallacies in value arguments STRAND / TOPIC AR.FII. Forensics II CONTENT PS. Public Speaking		PS.3.FII.	Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres.
PROFICIENCY 2. STRAND / TOPIC AR.FII. Forensics II CONTENT PS. Public Speaking			Defend selected methods of reasoning
CONTENT PS. Public Speaking			Discuss how to detect fallacies in value arguments
	STRAND/TOPIC	AR.FII.	Forensics II
		PS.	Public Speaking

PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	PS.3.FII.	Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	PS.3.FII.3 4.	Contrast the types of reasoning:
DESCRIPTOR	PS.3.FII.3 4.3.	deductive
DESCRIPTOR	PS.3.FII.3 4.4.	inductive
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.FIII.	Forensics III
CONTENT STANDARD	PS.	Public Speaking
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	PS.3.FIII.	Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	PS.3.FIII. 10.	Defend selected methods of reasoning
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.FIII.	Forensics III
CONTENT STANDARD	PS.	Public Speaking
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	PS.3.FIII.	Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	PS.3.FIII. 26.	Contrast the types of reasoning to create an effective presentation:
DESCRIPTOR	PS.3.FIII. 26.3.	deductive
DESCRIPTOR	PS.3.FIII. 26.4.	inductive
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.FIV.	Forensics IV
CONTENT STANDARD	PS.	Public Speaking
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	PS.3.FIV.	Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	PS.3.FIV. 10.	Defend selected methods of reasoning
STRAND/TOPIC	AR.FIV.	Forensics IV
CONTENT STANDARD	PS.	Public Speaking
	DC 0 FIV	Students will synthesize information to create a variety of speaking genres.
PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION	PS.3.FIV.	
	PS.3.FIV. PS.3.FIV. 26.	Contrast the types of reasoning to create an effective presentation at a mastery level:
EXPECTATION BENCHMARK /	PS.3.FIV.	Contrast the types of reasoning to create an effective presentation at a mastery level: deductive
EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	PS.3.FIV. 26. PS.3.FIV. 26.3.	
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY DESCRIPTOR	PS.3.FIV. 26. PS.3.FIV. 26.3. PS.3.FIV. 26.4.	deductive
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY DESCRIPTOR DESCRIPTOR	PS.3.FIV. 26. PS.3.FIV. 26.3. PS.3.FIV. 26.4.	deductive
EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY DESCRIPTOR DESCRIPTOR STRAND / TOPIC CONTENT	PS.3.FIV. 26. PS.3.FIV. 26.3. PS.3.FIV. 26.4. AR.OC1.	deductive inductive Oral Communication
EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY DESCRIPTOR DESCRIPTOR STRAND / TOPIC CONTENT STANDARD PERFORMANCE	PS.3.FIV. 26. PS.3.FIV. 26.3. PS.3.FIV. 26.4. AR.OC1. CC.	deductive inductive Oral Communication Communication Competencies
EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY DESCRIPTOR DESCRIPTOR STRAND / TOPIC CONTENT STANDARD PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION BENCHMARK /	PS.3.FIV. 26.3. PS.3.FIV. 26.4. AR.OC1. CC. CC.2.OC 1S. CC.2.OC 1S.4.	deductive inductive Oral Communication Communication Competencies Students will practice communication competencies. Define logical fallacies (e.g., slippery slope, ad hominem, bandwagon, false cause,
EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY DESCRIPTOR DESCRIPTOR STRAND / TOPIC CONTENT STANDARD PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	PS.3.FIV. 26.3. PS.3.FIV. 26.4. AR.OC1. CC. CC.2.OC 1S. CC.2.OC 1S.4.	deductive inductive Oral Communication Communication Competencies Students will practice communication competencies. Define logical fallacies (e.g., slippery slope, ad hominem, bandwagon, false cause, hasty generalization, begging the question, false dilemma)
EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY DESCRIPTOR STRAND / TOPIC CONTENT STANDARD PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY STRAND / TOPIC CONTENT	PS.3.FIV. 26.3. PS.3.FIV. 26.4. AR.OC1. CC. CC.2.OC 1S. CC.2.OC 1S.4. AR.OC2.	deductive inductive Oral Communication Communication Competencies Students will practice communication competencies. Define logical fallacies (e.g., slippery slope, ad hominem, bandwagon, false cause, hasty generalization, begging the question, false dilemma) Oral Communication
EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY DESCRIPTOR STRAND / TOPIC CONTENT STANDARD PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY STRAND / TOPIC CONTENT STANDARD PERFORMANCE	PS.3.FIV. 26. 3. PS.3.FIV. 26.4. AR.OC1. CC. 2.OC 1S. AR.OC2. CC.2.OC	deductive inductive Oral Communication Communication Competencies Students will practice communication competencies. Define logical fallacies (e.g., slippery slope, ad hominem, bandwagon, false cause, hasty generalization, begging the question, false dilemma) Oral Communication Communication Competencies
EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY DESCRIPTOR STRAND / TOPIC CONTENT STANDARD PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY STRAND / TOPIC CONTENT STANDARD PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY STRAND / TOPIC CONTENT STANDARD PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION BENCHMARK /	PS.3.FIV. 26.3. PS.3.FIV. 26.3. PS.3.FIV. 26.4. AR.OC1. CC. CC.2.OC 1S. AR.OC2. CC.2.OC 2S. CC.2.OC 2S.	deductive inductive Oral Communication Communication Competencies Students will practice communication competencies. Define logical fallacies (e.g., slippery slope, ad hominem, bandwagon, false cause, hasty generalization, begging the question, false dilemma) Oral Communication Communication Competencies Students will practice communication competencies. Recognize logical fallacies (e.g., slippery slope, ad hominem, bandwagon, false
EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY DESCRIPTOR DESCRIPTOR STRAND / TOPIC CONTENT STANDARD PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY STRAND / TOPIC CONTENT STANDARD PERFORMANCE EXPECTATION BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	PS.3.FIV. 26.3. PS.3.FIV. 26.3. PS.3.FIV. 26.4. AR.OC1. CC. CC.2.OC 1S. CC.2.OC 1S.4. AR.OC2. CC. CC.2.OC 2S. AR.TL. L.	deductive inductive Oral Communication Communication Competencies Students will practice communication competencies. Define logical fallacies (e.g., slippery slope, ad hominem, bandwagon, false cause, hasty generalization, begging the question, false dilemma) Oral Communication Communication Competencies Students will practice communication competencies. Recognize logical fallacies (e.g., slippery slope, ad hominem, bandwagon, false cause, hasty generalization, begging the question, false dilemma)

EXPECTATION	structures used in English language arts, history/social studies, science, and technical subjects.
BENCHMARK / PROFICIENCY	Use a variety of strategies and resources (e.g., contextual analysis, etymology, function, inflected forms, morphology, semantics, dictionaries, vocabulary notebooks) independently to examine various aspects of unfamiliar words to aid comprehension and acquisition of new vocabulary in context

© 2015 EdGate Correlation Services, LLC. All Rights reserved. Contact Us - Privacy - Service Agreement